

## PLANNING & REGULATION COMMITTEE

**MINUTES** of the meeting held on Monday, 24 April 2017 commencing at 2.00 pm and finishing at 2.46 pm

**Present:**

**Voting Members:** Councillor Mrs Catherine Fulljames – in the Chair

Councillor Neil Owen (Deputy Chairman)  
Councillor Lynda Atkins  
Councillor David Bartholomew  
Councillor Mark Cherry  
Councillor Patrick Greene  
Councillor Bob Johnston  
Councillor Stewart Lilly  
Councillor Glynis Phillips  
Councillor Anne Purse  
Councillor G.A. Reynolds  
Councillor John Sanders

**Other Members in Attendance:** Councillor Charles Mathew (for Agenda Item 6)

**Officers:**

Whole of meeting G. Warrington and D. Mytton (Directorate for Resources); C. Kenneford, D. Periam and G. Crossley (Directorate for Planning and Place)

*The Committee considered the matters, reports and recommendations contained or referred to in the agenda for the meeting, and decided as set out below. Except as insofar as otherwise specified, the reasons for the decisions are contained in the agenda and reports, copies of which are attached to the signed Minutes.*

### 14/17 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS

(Agenda No. 1)

<i>Apology for absence</i>	<i>Temporary Appointment</i>
Councillor James Mills	-

**15/17 MINUTES**

(Agenda No. 3)

The minutes of the meeting held on 27 February 2017 were approved and signed subject to adding Councillor Patrick Greene to the attendance list.

**16/17 PETITIONS AND PUBLIC ADDRESS**

(Agenda No. 4)

<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Item</i>
Phillip Duncan (Agent) County Councillor Charles Mathew	6 – Stonehenge Farm, Northmoor – Applications MW.0132/16 & MW.0134/16

**17/17 I) INSTALLATION AND USE OF PIPE SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED PUMPS TO TRANSPORT MINERALS FROM THE STONEHENGE FARM EXTENSION AREA TO THE PROCESSING PLANT AT LINCH HILL PERMITTED UNDER APPEAL REF: APP/U3100/A/09/2107573; AND II) VARIATION OF CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO CONSENT APP/U3100/A/09/2107573 FOR THE EXTRACTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL WITH ASSOCIATED PROCESSING PLANT, SILT PONDS, CONVEYORS AND ANCILLARY WORKS. RESTORATION TO WETLAND/REED BED AND FISHING, EXTRACTION OF BASAL CLAY TO FORM HYDROLOGICAL SEALS AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESTORATION ON SITE AT STONEHENGE FARM, NORTHMOOR, OXFORDSHIRE - APPLICATIONS MW.0132/16 & MW.0134/16**

(Agenda No. 6)

On 27 February 2017 the Planning & Regulation Committee deferred these applications in the light of an objection raised by the Environment Agency. That objection along with subsequent comments from the county’s Ecology Officer having been addressed by the applicant within their submission dated 23 March 2017 the Committee were now reconsidering both applications for a new pipeline to move mineral from the permitted Stonehenge Farm quarry instead of the permitted conveyor system and for associated variations of the conditions attached to the existing quarry permission including extending the time for the completion of mineral extraction to 31 December 2023 and restoration by 31 December 2024.

Presenting the report Mrs Crossley confirmed that the applicants had confirmed that they were unwilling to alter the phasing sequence in response to concerns expressed by the owner of the Park Farm development. She then responded to questions from:

Councillor Greene –rights of way would be raised where the pipeline crossed and plans had been conditioned to that effect.

Councillor Purse – the pipeline would be raised and supported on sleepers to aid movement of animals.

Councillor Sanders – material would vary in size and would not be treated prior to transportation.

Councillor Phillips – she was able to confirm that there was an audit trail for the discussions regarding removal of the EA objection.

Councillor Bartholomew – the County Council had no authority to change the phasing sequence. That application had already been approved and the applicant was working in accordance with the terms of that permission. There were conditions in place relating to environmental controls ie noise and proposals for provision of good mature screening.

Mr Duncan confirmed that responses to a full public consultation including a well-attended public meeting had been very positive. He felt the applicants had adequately addressed the request to amend the phasing scheme and that the scheme as now proposed represented the most effective way forward with allowances made for a 250 meter gap. The expected lifespan of the sleepers meant that they would likely outlive the length of the time the pipeline would be in situ. They would be removed when finished. With regard to material particle size screening would remove particles over 40ml and clay and anything below that down to micron size would be transported.

He then responded to questions from:

Councillor Sanders – there had been considerable improvements in pipeline technology and so confidence in the system was high. The manufacturers also guaranteed performance.

Councillor Lily – the existing noise limit for the pump was set at 51.

Councillor Mathew then addressed the Committee. He reminded members that Northmoor residents had been blighted for 40 plus years. This would now increase that to 50 plus years with flooding issues likely to be exacerbated through increased digging. That was unacceptable. He accepted that a pipe represented a better option than a conveyor but questioned why a bigger pipe was not being installed in order to reduce working time which he understood was feasible but more costly. He asked the Committee to consider deferral to address issues including flooding and to allow for costings for provision of a bigger pipeline and for conditions to state a clear timeline for completion and restoration.

Responding to Councillor Sanders he considered that Northmoor residents were entitled to know exact details regarding timetable for work and restoration.

Mrs Crossley confirmed that the timeline for the application had been conditioned under condition (iv) of Application MW.0132/16.

..... Mr Periam confirmed that enforcement action could be taken if the applicants failed to comply but the Committee needed to consider the material matter currently in front of it.

Mr Mytton added that as the permission had been implemented there could be no requirement for the applicants to do anything other than comply with the finishing date.

Mrs Crossley added that the County enforcement team would be monitoring the site.

**RESOLVED:** (on a motion by Councillor Cherry, seconded by Councillor Johnston and carried unanimously) that subject to no over-riding objections being received from outstanding consultees that:

- (a) Application MW.0132/16 be approved subject to conditions to be determined by the Director of Planning and Place including those set out in Annex B to the report PN6; and
- (b) subject to:
  - i) a supplemental S.106 legal agreement to bring forward relevant provisions from the existing agreements;
  - ii) a supplemental routeing agreement linking the proposed development to the existing routeing agreement;

that Application MW.0134/16 be approved subject to conditions as on existing consent APP/U3100/A/09/2107573, with the amendments to conditions, deletion of redundant conditions and additional conditions and informatives to be determined by the Director for Planning and Place, in accordance with the details set out in Annex C to the report PN6 and with any necessary updates to the wording of existing conditions to ensure clarity and reflect changes to policy since the original permission had been issued.

Chairman .....

Date of signing.....

.....